9005 / 1922

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

SNA File No. 54 of 1922

Serial No.	
1	Memorial dy 1.XII.22 from Mr. Divakara Naik y Rai Dng. Pallegar family praying for reconsideration y order passed in G.O. dy 10.XI.21 declining to grant his request for the continuance y the pension drawn by late Venkatakrishnamma.
H	In G.O. dy 10.XI.21 his prayer was rejected.
	Now he ~~at present~~ prays that the order may be reconsidered in view y many precedents in which such pension granted to widows have been revived & continued to the nearest heirs etc.
J, T, Z, W	Reference is invited to H Secy's note & Chief Secretary's note & Dewan's orders in the file put up
H	Submitted for order
CS	
57/22	

13/12 13/12 H Secy

Dewan Nrd 20-12-22

Chief Secy

Refer: The Dewan's minute of petition dy 1-12-22

Dewan

Maybe considered

21/12/22

in C.

To the Chief Secy

2.1

note 2/1/23

DEWAN'S OFFICE,
Recd. on DEC 27 1922
Reg. No. 3866

note file

other memorial 12.22 since received + put up - (Pl. see file)

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Council Section.

Extract from the Proceedings of the 637th *Meeting of the Council of His Highness the Maharaja, held at Bangalore on the* 11th January 1923. *19*

181. Subject. - Clause xii of the schedule.

Memorial of Dr. Divakar Naik of Raidurg Pallegar family requesting reconsideration of the order declining to transfer to his name the pension drawn by the late Venkatalakshamma his sister-in-law.

OPINION OF THE COUNCIL.

The pension drawn by the late Venkatalakshamma (Krishnamma) may be continued to the memorialist.

(Signed) A. R. BANERJI,
Dewan of Mysore.

"EXTRACT"

Chief Secretary to Government.

WD 1471—GPB—2,000—1-3-21

C 307

3

G. R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat. | S&A File No. 59 of 1922.

Serial No.

Subject.

Memorial of Mr. Divakar Naik of Naidrug Pallegar family requesting reconsideration of the order declining to transfer to his name the pension drawn by the late Venkata-Krishnamma ~~Lakshamma~~ his sister-in-law.

Extract from the Proceedings of the Council is forwarded, with compliments, to the Financial Secretary.

Submitted with a D. S. N and address order

NOTE—Contin. sheet.

5

6. The memorialist now prays for a revision of the above mentioned order and cites in support of his prayer certain cases in which life-pensions drawn by widows have been continued to the heirs of the deceased pensioners.

7. The matter was considered in Council on 11th January 1923 and it was resolved that the pension drawn by the late Venkatakrishnamma may be continued to the memorialist.

8. A draft order in accordance with the Council resolution is submitted for His Highness' gracious approval.

Camp Chikmagalur,
29th January 1923.

30 JAN 1923

for

6)

Record of Cases submitted for the Order of His Highness the Maharaja

Subject.

Memorial of Mr.P.R.Divakara Naik of Rayadroog Pallegar family, praying for a reconsideration of the order declining to transfer to his name the life pension of Rs. 19-15-9 drawn by the late Venkata-krishnamma.

462
(30-1-23)

663.
Returned with Do Letter No 152
dated 12.2.1923.

Order.

[G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | SM File No. 59 of 1922

7)

Q. No 152
With one file

The Palace,
Bangalore.

12th February 1923.

14 FEB 1923

My dear Sir,

I am directed to return file No.462 dated the 30 January 1923, and to say that His Highness is not disposed to reconsider the order already passed in the case. There must, he feels, be some finality in all such cases. On merits also, he thinks that the Pollegar has really no claim to a pension which was enjoyed by a different branch of the family.

Yours sincerely,
Mirza M. Ismail

Please put up draft endorsement
14.2.23

21-2-23

A. R. Banerji, Esq., C.S.I., C.I.E.,
Bangalore.

B.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Draft endorsement submitted
17/2 19/2 Le Grey

22/2/23

1005 SJA 59-1922
Pen No 453
1.12.22

To.

ALBION RAJKUMAR BANERJI, C.S.I., C.I.E.,

The Dewan to His Highness The Maharaja of Mysore,

BANGALORE.

Most Respectfully Sheweth,

The humble memorialist is a member of the Rayadroog Palyagar family under the kind protection of H.H.The Maharaja of Mysore, as shown in the geneological tree submitted herewith for your Excellency's kind perusal, and has been drawing the stipend of Rs.18/12 per month from the benign Government of Mysore.

The memorialist's cousin brother Koneti Nayak died issueless about 40 years back, his stipend of Rs.19/15/9 was being enjoyed by his step mother Venkatalakshmamma and his two widows Akkalamma and Venkatakrishnamma.

The step mother Venkatalakshmamma and the first wife Akkalamma of Koneti Nayak having also died a few years back the whole of the pension namely Rs.19/15/9 was being enjoyed by Venkatakrishnamma the second wife of Koneti Nayak.

The humble memorialist now begs to submit that as this last surviving widow Venkatakrishnamma died on 17th Octr 1918, the humble memorialist who is the nearest cousin brother of the late Koneti Nayak, the husband of the said Venkatakrishnamma, is entitled to the said pension.

The humble memorialist's grandfather Devakara Nayak and Koneti Nayak's (husband of the deceased Venkatakrishnamma) grandfather Chikka Koneti Nayak were uterine brothers and were living as undivided members of the family and the elder one Chikka Koneti Nayak drawing the whole pension as the Barawardar. Even after the death of Chikka Koneti Nayak in 1836 when when the Baraward was transfered to his son Nanjunda Nayak the father-in-law of Venkatakrishnamma, the memorialist's grandfather Devakara Nayak continued to live with Nanjunda Nayak as undivided members of the family till 1852, when the memorialist's grandfather Devakara Nayak having desired to

to live seperately, the Baraward was split up and the pension was divided between the memorialist's grandfather Devakara - Nayak and his nephew Nanjunda Nayak.

The humble memorialist therefore, respectfully begs to represent to your Excellency that he is the nearest heir being the nearest reversioner of the deceased Venkatakrishn - amma who was no other than the sister-in-law of the memoria - list.

Further the humble memorialist most respectfully begs permission to submit for your Excellency's benign con - sideration that the memorialist's family has already suffered a great loss owing to the folly of the memorialist's grand - father Chikka Koneti Nayak in 1836 and his father Ramappa - Nayak in 1815 both of whom left the Mysore Province and went away to their native place Rayadroog on leave and overstayed there in controvension of the orders then in force, with the result that in 1815 the pension of Rs.67/12/9 which was being drawn by Ramappa Nayak the memorialist's great grandfather was re - duced to Rs.22/9/7 and the remainder namely Rs.45/3/2 was for- feited to Government and in 1835 when Chikka Koneti Nayak son of Ramappa Nayak was the Barawardar a sum of Rs.2/9/7 was de- ducted from the pension of Rs.22/9/7 and forfeited to Govern - ment. Consequently the memorialist's family has been getting a stipend of Rs.38/11/9 (including the pension of Rs.18/12/- now being drawn by the memorialist and the pension of Rs.19/15/9 which was still now being drawn by the deceased Venkatakrish- namma) whereas the other members of the Rayadroog family, name -ly Muthuswamy Nayak (Rs.103/2) and Koneti Nayak (Rs.87/8/9) have been enjoying the handsome pension amounting in all to Rs.190/ 10/9 though the pensions were equally divided in the year 1812 between the two families at Rs.67/12/9 each.

The memorialist may also be kindly permitted to - mention that His Highness' Government have always been showing concessions to Political Pensions by making small additions to their pensions now and again having regard to the rise in prices and the other conditions which have contributed to make

make living more and more costly.

The memorialist brought the above circumstances to your Excellency's prodecessors kind notice by means of petition dated 13-1-1919 praying that the aforesaid pension of Rs.19-15-9 enjoyed by his sister-in-law the late Venkatakrishnamma may kindly be ordered to be paid to him;but to the memorialist's misfortune his prayer was not granted as per memorandum No.546 dated the 11th September 1919 from the Officer in charge of the State Huzur Treasury relying mainly upon the Government Order No.413-14/17 dated the 19th April 1884 directing that the " stipends should lapse to Government after the death of Venkatakrishnamma".

On receipt of this endorsement the memorialist submitted a reply thereto quoting no less than seven instances in which the Benign Government of H.H. The Maharaja had been pleased to transfer in their entirety pensions that were being enjoyed by widows to their nearest reversioners and praying for favourable orders,this also has fetched a negative reply to the misfortune of your Excellency's humble memorialist.

The memorialist humbly begs to detail the various references in appendix attached to this memorial.These orders coupled with the practice of enhancing pensions from time to time referred to above clearly show that the policy of the Government has always been to keep the pensions intact and to increase them if possible,but never to reduce them.

The Order No.413-14/17 dated 19th April 1884 is one which directs forfeiture only in cases when the widows have no issues or heirs. That this is the correct interpretation of the notification in question is clear from the proceedings of the Chief Commissioner of Mysore- Residency No.117 dated the 12th August 1880 Bangalore, in which it is clearly laid down that the Rule that " The stipends held by females should lapse on their demise must be considered as applying only to those cases in which the stipendaries have no issues or heirs ". The order of 1884 quoted by the Officer in charge of the State Huzur Treasury is one apparently based on the above notification of Mr.Bowring and should therefore be interpreted as in

(11

in Residency Order of 1880.

That this view, the memorialist humbly ventures to submit has been consistently accepted by our Benign Govern - ment in all cases of this kind is evident from the several - cases detailed in the appendix in which on the death of a widow stipendary, the stipends have been ordered in their entirety to be transferred to the nearest surviving reversioner ers.

W

The memorialist believes that these several instances and more particularly the Residency Order of 1880 were not - before the Authorities when the Memorandum under reference - was issued to the memorialist and he therefore begs that may be kindly taken into consideration.

The memorialist therefore prays humbly and respectfully in conclusion that his prayer may be kindly reconsider -ed in the light of above orders. Determining the very just, liberal and equitable policy and procedure adopted by His - Highness'Government from time to time, and the said pension of Rs.19-15-9 that was being enjoyed by the memorialist's sister-in-law Venkatakrishnamma may be kindly ordered to be paid to the memorialist with effect from the date of her death namely the 17th October 1918.

For which act of kindness and generosity your humble memorialist will as in duty bound ever pray.

He begs to remain,

Your Excellency's most humble and Loyal Subject,

P. Nidivakara Naik
Rayadurg Pallegar

BANGALORE.
15th September 1922.

(12

List of cases of pensions drawn by widows transferred to their heirs after their death .

---

1. Seebakkamma of Chitaldrug family---Govt: Order No.185 D/- 11-2-1879.

2. Chickappiah of Chikkaballapur family---Govt: Order No.117 D/- 12-8-1880.

3. Chayammanni of Chikkaballapur family---Govt: Order No. 86-87 P.P. D/- 10-11-1900.

4. Siddalingamma of Chitaldrug family---Govt: Order No.P .A. 30/G D/-22-6-1895.

5. Chowdamma of Anegondi family ------Govt: Order No.Fl 4937-8/S & A 64-10-1912, D/- 16-5-1912.

6. Honnamma Nagathi of Tarikere family ------Govt: Order No.Fl 623-4/ S & A 384-13-2, D/- 10-8-1914 .

7. Bhaskaramma of Manjarabad family ------Govt: Order No.Fl 7847/ S & A 59-19-6, D/- 26-4-1920 .

Puttaswamaiah
Rajadurga Patel

BANGALORE.

14 December 1922.

(13

G E N E O L O G I C A L T R E E.

THIMMAPPA NAYAK.

Koneti Nayak.

Ramappa Nayak.
(Pension sanctioned)
(in 1812 Rs.67-12-9)
(Reduced
(in 1815 Rs.45- 3-2)
Rs.22- 9-7.

Chikka Koneti Nayak.
(died in 1836)
Pension Rs.22-9-7.
Reduced
in 1835 Rs. 2-9-7.
Rs.20-0-0.

Nanjunda Nayak.
Rs.13-5-4.
(Wife Venkatalakshmamma)
died on 2-7-1911.

Koneti Nayak.
(1st, wife Akkalamma)
died in July 1915.
(2nd, wife Venkata-
krishnamma died
on 17-10-1918.
(Pension Rs.19-15-9)

Divakara Nayak.
Rs.6-10-8.
Rs.3-5-4.
Rs.2- 8-0.
Rs.12-8-0.

Ramappa Nayak.
Rs.12-8-0.
Rs. 6-4-0.
Rs.18-12-0.

Divakara Nayak.
(Memorialist)
Pension Rs.18-12-0.

Venkatapathy Nayak.

0

Muddukrishnappa Nayak.

Koneti Nayak.
(Rs.67-12-9)
(Rs.33-14-4)
Rs.101-11-1.

Muddukrishnappa Nayak.
Rs.55-0-0.
Rs.13-12-0.
Rs.34- 6-0.
Rs.103-2-0.

Muddusamy Nayak.
Rs.103-2-0.

Koneti Nayak.

Nanjunda Nayak.
Rs.46-11-1.
Rs.11-10-9.
Rs.29- 2-11.
Rs.87- 8- 9.

Koneti Nayak.
Rs.87-8-9.

Rajagopal Nayak.

0

Budivakar & Co
Bryadury Pallegar

BANGALORE.
15th September 1922.

2258 (14
K
SHA 55-22-2

To. Mysore 16.12.22

The Dewan Sahib, to H.H.The Maharaja Of Mysore.

Through,

The Financial Secretary, to the Government of H.H.The Maharaja of Mysore.

Most Respectfully Sheweth,

The humble memorialist begs to submit herewith in continuation of his memorial dated 1-12-1922, the several proceedings of the Government of H.H.The Maharaja of Mysore, passed while sanctioning the transfer of pensions drawn by widows to their heirs after their deaths together with the Abstract of History of the family of memorialist and copy of Govt: Pro: No.1678 dated 29-10-1887, sanctioning adoption by Pallegar widows, and also the reference made in reply to No.159 dated 29-1-1919 of the Officer in Charge State Huzur Treasury to the Government of Mysore, Bangalore, by the Tasildar of Rayadoorg after enquiring the various relations of the deceased - Venkatakrishnamma put up at Rayadoorg, for your Excellency's kind - perusal and favourable consideration.

1. The humble memorialist begs to submit that the fact that our benign Government has been pleased to sanction the transfers off all pensions drawn by Pallegar widows noted in the copies of enclosed Proceedings after their deaths in their entirety to their heirs; that they have been pleased to grant permission to Pallegar widows to adopt (Govt: Pro:No.1678 dated 29-10-1887) and that they have also given increments to pensions (50% in 1860, 25% in 1865, and 50% in 1893) now and again having regard to the rise in prices of food - stuffs, is the proof that the object and policy of our benign Government is to keep up the pensions in the same family inheriditory for the maintainance of its members and not to lapse the same to Government on the death of widows even though the nearest heirs are - living.

2. The memorialist begs to submit that our benign Govern - ment have clearly explained in their Pro: No.117 dated 12-8-1880, stating that stipends held by females will lapse only in cases in which the stipendiaries have no issues or heirs; and your humble memorialist is the nearest heir being the nearest reversioner of

(15

of the deceased Venkatakrishnamma who was his sister-in-law. Venkatapathy Naik who is the distent cousin of the husband of the late Venkatakrishnamma and Thippeswamy Naik who is the uncle of the said widow have admitted during the enquiry of the Tasildar of of Rayadoorg (copy enclosed) that your humble memorialist who is already in receipt of a portion of the pension given for the maintainance of this family is the legal heir.

3. Your humble memorialist furthar begs to submit that it can be seen from the Abstract of History of the memorialist's family (copy enclosed) that the pension granted for the maintainance of this family was being drawn in its entirety by the memorialist's own grand-father Divakara Naik before it was divided between himself and his nephew Nanjunda Naik, who was the father-in-law of the late widow Venkatakrishnamma .

4. The memorialist begs to submit also that the Pallegar pensions having been granted for the maintainance of the Pallegar family members in lieu of Pallepat lands confiscated to Government, the policy of the Government has always been to keep the pensions in tact and to increase them if possible for the maintainance of the families, but never to reduce them(Paras 7,8&9 of the Govt:Pro:No.1878. dated 29-10-1887.)

The memorialist therefore prays most respectfully that his prayer may be kindly reconsidered in the light of above orders and the pension of Rs.19-15-9, enjoyed by his deceased sister-in-law Venkatakrishnamma may be kindly ordered to be paid to the memorialist.

For which act of kindness and generosity your humble memorialist shall ever pray.

He begs to remain,

Your Excellency's most humble & loyal Subject

P. Divakara Naik
Rayadurg Pallegar

Dated: 15 - 12 - 1922,

Bangalore City.

c/o

R. P. Koneti Nayak,
Kurubar
2/5, Sannakumbli Pet, Kilari Road,
BANGALORE CITY.

Enclosures.

are requested to be returned after the needful done.

G. R. S. VI—(G/) .1

SUBJECT.....

Diary No. of letter replied to 2258

General and Revenue Secretariat

(SJH)

, *the* 191

Date of issue 23-2-23

Signed by Divakara Naik

Section SJH

File No. 59/22

Serial No. 3

No. H 3788

Enclosure 1 file

To

Mr. P. R. Divakara Naik

Ranjaling Pallegar Pensioner

C/o. Mr. R. Ry R. P. Koneti Naik,

2/6. Samalkambli Kumbar Pett,

Killari Road, Bangalore City

With reference to his memorial

dy 1. XII. 22 ~~forwarding further recommendation of the order dy 10. XI. 21~~

~~declining to sanction the pension~~

~~drawn by late Venkatakrishnamma~~

~~of Ranjagiri Pallegar Family~~

the petitioner is informed

over.

that

That Govt. ~~are not disposed~~ do not consider it necessary to reconsider the orders already passed

2) The enclosures received with the memorial are returned herewith

Board

Dir Stores

17/2 1912

No

Copy to Lahore for information

No 73789
9/23/1/23

Dir Stores

17/2

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by